



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,651	01/30/2001	Otto Watzenberger	51146	8169
26474	7590	08/29/2003		12
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036				EXAMINER
				MANOHARAÑ, VIRGINIA
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		1764		

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Offic Action Summary**Application No.**

09/771,651

Applicant(s)

WATZENBERGER ET AL.

Examiner

Virginia Manoharan

Art Unit

1764

*-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --***Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2003.2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.**Disposition of Claims**4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-16 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-16 is/are rejected.7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.**Application Papers**9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.**Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).a) All b) Some * c) None of:1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.**Attachment(s)**1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.6) Other: _____

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The ".8" as in ".8 to 1.0 atmosphere" in claims 7 and 12 are not positively recited in the specification.

Claims 1-2 and 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. There are no proper antecedent basis for supports in the claims for the following claimed languages:

1. "The hydroxyl amine-containing solution ..." in claims 1 and 10. [The solutions initially recited contain amines, i.e., solutions of hydroxylamine and amines. Compare with the abstract in lines 8-10 describing the hydroxylamine-containing solution without amines].

2. "The bottom product is vaporized again...". [The term "again" should be deleted the absence of a recitation of initial vaporization of the bottom product]. See claims 5 and 13.

3. "... the vaporous fractions" in claims 5 and 13;
4. "... the liquid phase" in claims 6 and 14.; and
5. "... the electronic industry" in claims 9 and 16.

b. The solutions (plural) in line 1 is at odds with the solution (singular) in line 3 of claim 1. See also claim 2.

c. In claim 1, it is unclear as to what happen to the "amines" that is recited in the preamble but not in the body of the claim. [It appears from the abstract that the that the "hydroxylamine containing-solution is the hydroxylamine being stripped with steam is the hydroxylamine from the waste water without the amines?]. See also claim 10.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watzenberger et al (5,837,107).

Watzenberger's disclosure at col. 4, lines 59-63 of a process of stripping of hydroxylamine from a salt solution carried out in a stripping column (14), and the further disclosure at col. 6, lines 43-52 of the separation being carried -out in a stripping column with steam (10) being passed into the bottom of the column are deemed to render obvious the limitations embodied in the body of claim 1 which recites "wherein the hydroxyl amine is stripped from the hydroxyl-containing solution.(The hydroxylamine from a salt solution deemed corresponding to the claimed hydroxyl -containing solution).

.Claims 2, and 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 703-308-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Calderola can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9462 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

V. Manoharan/mn
August 28, 2003
09771651


VIRGINIA MANOHARAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 132 (2nd)
8/28/03